

Message

---

**From:** Blake, Ellen [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=79BA69ABFDA14D4C845539858E07B8A2-EBLAKE02]  
**Sent:** 5/9/2018 4:40:37 PM  
**To:** Ziegler, Sam [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=70e177091bd1472e81022fd27426fc5b-SZIEGLER]  
**Subject:** FW: For your awareness -- Rosemont activity with HQ

This sounds to me like something that should go on the RA calendar for internal briefings before the end of July. Do you disagree?

Ellen Blake  
Water Division  
U.S. EPA Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Tel: (415) 972-3496  
blake.ellen@epa.gov

---

The information contained in this message, including any attachments hereto, may be privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. It is intended for the recipient only. If you are an agency employee or consultant, please consult with the sender prior to disclosing the contents of this message to third parties. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete the message and any attachments.

---

**From:** Brush, Jason  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 09, 2018 9:19 AM  
**To:** Torres, Tomas <Torres.Tomas@epa.gov>; Johnson, Kathleen <Johnson.Kathleen@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Montgomery, Michael <Montgomery.Michael@epa.gov>; Dunning, Connell <Dunning.Connell@epa.gov>; Ziegler, Sam <Ziegler.Sam@epa.gov>; Amato, Paul <Amato.Paul@epa.gov>; Blake, Ellen <Blake.Ellen@epa.gov>; Moutoux, Nicole <Moutoux.Nicole@epa.gov>; Goforth, Kathleen <Goforth.Kathleen@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** For your awareness -- Rosemont activity with HQ

Hi Tomàs and Kathleen – Connell and I touched base this morning, and I offered to send this update for you both.

Yesterday, we became aware that Rosemont reached out to OP and OFA in Feb. They were inquiring about whether Region 9 had responded to Rosemont's rebuttals to our Nov 2017 letter. Those rebuttals were mailed to the Corps but did not cc us, nor did the Corps share them with us. Although our Nov analysis relied on information from the EIS, OFA rightfully pointed out that it is a 404-process and decision letter; it's unclear whether OFA or OP coordinated with OW at any point between Feb and now, but I will follow up through the HQ Wetlands chain.

R9 Wetlands staff have continued to reach out to Corps staff every 1-2 months for any updates. We have asked specifically if there are any new documents or information, and been told clearly and directly that there are not. **Importantly, if the Corps moves ahead with granting a permit, it will trigger a 2-week clock for R9 to review their draft decision docs and make an RA decision on requesting HQ review.**

I will work with Paul Amato to ensure the RM briefing paper is updated for the RA transition book, and will speak with Ellen and Mike about an overall briefing strategy.

**Jason A. Brush**  
**Supervisor, Tribal Water Section**  
**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9**  
**75 Hawthorne Street (WTR-3-4)**

San Francisco, CA 94105

~~~~~

desk: 415.972.3483

~~~~~

---

**From:** Knight, Kelly  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 9, 2018 4:05 AM  
**To:** Feeley, Drew (Robert) <[Feeley.Drew@epa.gov](mailto:Feeley.Drew@epa.gov)>; Tomiak, Robert <[tomiak.robert@epa.gov](mailto:tomiak.robert@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Suriano, Elaine <[Suriano.Elaine@epa.gov](mailto:Suriano.Elaine@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Question on Rosemount Copper Project - Additional R9 comments?

Hi Drew –

The EPA letter/comments that are referenced by HudBay were in response to the CWA permitting process, not our 309 review. R9 has not sent additional comments/letters since November 2017. Neither HudBay nor the Corps provided HudBay's response to EPA. Yesterday was the first time they had seen it. EPA submitted 309 review comments on the DEIS in February 2012. EPA elected to not provide comments on the FEIS in 2017.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

R,  
Kelly

---

**From:** Feeley, Drew (Robert)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 8, 2018 3:46 PM  
**To:** Tomiak, Robert <[tomiak.robert@epa.gov](mailto:tomiak.robert@epa.gov)>; Knight, Kelly <[knight.kelly@epa.gov](mailto:knight.kelly@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: Question on Rosemount Copper Project - Additional R9 comments?

Hi Rob and Kelly – A stakeholder originally reached out about comments Region 9 transmitted to USACE last November regarding the Rosemont Copper mine in AZ and 404 CWA permitting issues. R9 comments → <http://www.rosemontminetruth.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/epa-2017-critique.pdf> The stakeholder believes R9 may have filed additional comments since that last November and wants to check. Any help/recommendations you could offer in confirming is greatly appreciated.

Thanks,  
Drew

---

**From:** McGrath, William J. [<mailto:wmcgrath@bhfs.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 9, 2018 4:29 PM  
**To:** Feeley, Drew (Robert) <[Feeley.Drew@epa.gov](mailto:Feeley.Drew@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Rosemont Copper Project - Response to EPA Comments (Groundwater Drawdown) COE File No.: 2008-00816-MB

Drew,

Just wanted to pass along Hudbay's response to EPA's comments on the Rosemont project. They disagree with the region pretty significantly. Just FYI

Thanks  
Bill

Sent from my iPhone

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY & DISCLAIMER: The information contained in this email message is attorney privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by calling (303)-223-1300 and delete the message. Thank you.